







# Hydrogeological processes of karstinfluenced multi-layered aquifers of northern Aquitaine basin, France

M. Quispe Sihuas (BRGM Bordeaux – I2M)

J.B. Charlier (BRGM Montpellier – G-Eau) R. Lastennet (I2M) O. Cabaret (BRGM Bordeaux) B. Dewandel (BRGM Montpellier – G-Eau)

A. Denis (I2M)

















### Introduction





karst feature.

### Study Area

Northern Aquitaine Basin (AB) in Southwest France.

Sediments from Triassic to Upper cretaceous overly the Hercynian granite and schist basement

The alternation of aquifers and aquitards create a complex multilayer aquifer system, characterized by 2 main reservoirs:



arst 2022

Study area in the edge North Aquitaine Basin (southwest France)

### **Study Area**

*Upper cretaceous* multi-layer system, mainly composed of fractured limestone and contains four aquifers: Cenomanian, Turonian, Coniacian-Santonian and Campanian.

*Jurassic multi layer system,* mostly dolomitized, and composed by 5 reservoirs: Lias, Bajocian, Bathonian - Callovian – Oxfordian (the thickest and the most important), Kimmeridgian and the local reservoir of Tithonian.



Hydrogeological cross sections (adapted from Platel et al., 2010).



euro karst 2022

Study area in the edge North Aquitaine Basin (southwest France)

### **Study Area**

*Upper cretaceous* multi-layer system, mainly composed of fractured limestone and contains four aquifers: Cenomanian, Turonian, Coniacian-Santonian and Campanian.

*Jurassic multi layer system,* mostly dolomitized, and composed by 5 reservoirs: Lias, Bajocian, Bathonian - Callovian – Oxfordian (the thickest and the most important), Kimmeridgian and the local reservoir of Tithonian.



Hydrogeological cross sections (adapted from Platel et al., 2010).



These predominantly carbonate formations are <u>karstified</u>, both <u>outcrop and</u> <u>undercover</u>



# PART 1: Hydrochemical and hydrodynamic variability karst 2022

## Materials and methods

Groundwater and hydrological dataset was compiled from several public data-bases:

- 1. Hydrochemical data (major elements) and water levels
- 2. Geological and technical information from springs and wells

#### 1. Piezometric maps

- 29 in Upper Cretaceous aquifers (Turonian and/or Coniacian Santonian and/or Cenomanian)
- 25 in Jurassic aquifers (Bajocian and/or Bathonian Callovian Oxfordian and/or Kimmeridgian)
- Dry and wet season 2017
- Standard Kriging interpolation

# 2. Hydrochemical maps and PCA

- 65 springs and shallow wells (max. 7 m depth), and 94 boreholes (up to 1.2 km in depth, 330 m in average)
- The boreholes screened both in the Jurassic and Upper Cretaceous aquifers were not selected
- A minimum of 5 samples per site over the 2000-2019
- Ionic balance greater than 5% was not accepted
- Temperature, pH, EC at 25°C, and chemical analyses of major elements
- Use of the median value



#### 3. Geothermal gradient

- Simple linear regression
- Calculated from 81 sites (boreholes) with a screen at least at 25 m deep.
- The screened interval must be less than 100 m





# Results and discussion : Hydrogeological characteristics

#### Upper cretaceous piezometric map dry season 2017

- Recharge zone on the Turonian and **Conacian Santonian outcrops**
- Rivers such as Dordogne, Lizonne, Dronne and Isle appear to drain the cretaceous aquifer.

Jurassic piezometric map dry season 2017

Two main flow direction are observed : EW (Perigueux area) and NE-SW between Sarlat-la-Canéda and Cahors

> Regional fault Cross section

(drv season 2017) Piezometric Upper Cretaceous surface

(dry season 2017) Jurassic water level measurements (dry season 2017) Piezometric Jurassic surface (drv season 2017)

Triassic lower Jurassic Middle Jurassi

Upper Jurassic Upper Cretaceous Paleocene, Eocene and Oligocene Miocene and Pliocene Quaternan

Upper Cretaceous water level measurements

Hercynian basement (granites and schists)

Dividing line seems accentuated by the piezometric depression



### **Results and discussion : Geothermal gradient**





**Regional geothermical gradients** 

- Temperature variability in the Upper Cretaceous and Jurassic aquifers; 13.5 to 40 °C
- Global regional geothermal gradient of 2.060 °C/100 m



Cold-water anomalies at important depths



# Results and discussion : Hydrochemical variability



Plot based on principal components analysis of hydrochemical sampling from springs and boreholes







# Results and discussion : Hydrochemical variability



# Plot based on principal components analysis of hydrochemical sampling from springs and boreholes

**Variables:**  $Mg^{2+}$  well correlated with Tw and negatively correlated with  $Ca^{2+}$ , HCO<sup>-</sup> and  $NO_3^{-}$ .

 $\mathrm{Na}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ,  $\mathrm{Cl}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  ,  $\mathrm{K}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and  $\mathrm{SO_4}^{2 \scriptscriptstyle -}$  are well correlated.

#### **Observations:**

**1.** Boreholes deeper than 200 m (with a few exceptions) Strong concentrations of  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  ->mineralization

2. Springs, shallow wells and boreholes of shallow depth (<200 m) wigh high values of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and bicarbonates



#### Results and discussion : Hydrochemical variability





Observations (axes F1 and F2: 76.03 %)

Plot based on principal components analysis of hydrochemical sampling from springs and boreholes

Variables:  $Mg^{2+}$  well correlated with Tw and negatively correlated with  $Ca^{2+}$ , HCO<sup>-</sup> and  $NO_3^{-}$ .

 $\mathrm{Na}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  ,  $\mathrm{Cl}^{\scriptscriptstyle -}$  ,  $\mathrm{K}^{\scriptscriptstyle +}$  and  $\mathrm{SO}_4{}^{2{\scriptscriptstyle -}}$  are well correlated.

#### **Observations:**

**1.** Boreholes deeper than 200 m (with a few exceptions) Strong concentrations of  $Mg^{2+}$ ,  $Na^+$ ,  $Cl^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  ->mineralization

**2.** Springs, shallow wells and boreholes of shallow depth (<200 m) wigh high values of Ca<sup>2+</sup> and bicarbonates



### **Results and discussion : Spatial variability**



#### Spatial variability of Ca (a) and Mg (b) concentrations in both Upper Cretaceous and Jurassic aquifers.



- High concentrations of Ca2+ (around 120 mg/L)
- · Ca2+ decrease from East to West and Southwest
- Low concentration of Mg2+ at the outcrops.
- Mg2+ increase from East to West and Southwest



#### **Conclusions:**



- The Upper Cretaceous and Jurassic aquifers are widely heterogeneous.
- The hydrogeochemistry varies according the lithology facies, the residence time, the depth, and very likely because of the karstic feature.
- Our results show evidences of mixing zones between the two main Cretaceous and Jurassic reservoirs.
- This is probably due to the karstic and fractured natures of the carbonate formations that allow a fast infiltration through vertical drainage of water from the surface towards the deep parts of the aquifers
- The isotopic method (87Sr/86Sr) shows encouraging results to quantify the contribution of carbonate aquifers to the principal rivers.

